

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,299	10/821,299 04/09/2004		Michio Tamura	13869.29US01	1107
23552	7590	04/01/2005		EXAMINER	
		OULD PC		PEDDER, DENNIS H	
P.O. BOX MINNEAI		N 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3612	
				DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/821,299	TAMURA, MICHIO				
Y	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dennis H. Pedder	3612				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SH THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provisions of time mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)⊑ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applica	tion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Exami		_				
10)	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to th						
. 11)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life in the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life in the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life in the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life in the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life in the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life in the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life in the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life in the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life in the priority document and the	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
Attachme	• •	_					
2) 🔲 No 3) 🔯 Info	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 per No(s)/Mail Date 4/9/2004.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai 08) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/821,299 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Flewitt.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flewitt in view of Hayashi et al.

Flewitt moves the link rod via a manual handle 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Flewitt a motor drive for a link rod as taught by Hayashi et al. in order to reduce the effort of moving the roof.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All show link pivoted roof panels.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

3/23/05

DHP 3/23/2005